

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fitent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. 9. Box 450 Acxaparia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,461	09/30/2003	Lu-De Chen	WISP0031USA	2460	
27765	7590 02/28/2006	02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			RAHMAN, FAHMIDA		
	P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2116		
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/605,461	CHEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fahmida Rahman	2116
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 are pending.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy filed on 6/29/2004 has been received.

Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) prior to declaration of an interference, a translation of the foreign application should be submitted under 37 CFR 1.55 in reply to this action.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 7 are objected for the following informalities:

Claim 1 recites the limitations "detecting how long a second power supply supplies power" in line 3 and "if the second power supply supplies power for less than a predetermined period" in line 8. It is not clearly established from the claim language if the comparison with predetermined period, as mentioned in line 8, is performed with the supply period of second power supply. For the rest of the office action, it is assumed that "detecting the period of a second power supply" and "if said period is less than a predetermined period" are intended.

Art Unit: 2116

Claim 7 recites the limitations "detecting how long a battery supplies power" in line 3 and "if the battery supplies power for less than a predetermined period" in lines 9-10. It is not clearly established from the description if the comparison with predetermined period, as mentioned in lines 9-10, is performed with the supply period of battery. For the rest of the office action, it is assumed that "detecting the period of a battery" and "if

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

said period is less than a predetermined period" are intended.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshizawa et al (US Patent 5566081), in view of Yoshioka et al (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0075345).

For claim 1, Yoshizawa et al teach the following limitations:

A method of controlling the operational mode (Fig 3 shows the two modes. Thus, the method teaches the controlling of operating mode) of a computer system (Fig 2 shows the computer system) comprising the following steps:

- (a) detecting how long a power supply supplies power to the computer system, when the power supply of the computer system is in a particular state (step 128 is the preamble searching time in second mode. Thus, 130 is determining the time period of how long the system is searching preamble in second mode. That can be considered a particular state of the power supply, since preamble searching phase takes less power as shown in Fig 1C. In addition, Fig 3 shows the preamble searching in second mode. It is following a particular pattern. The use of power in that state is described in lines 61-67 of column 1. Thus, the preamble searching phase in second mode can be considered as a special state of power supply); and
- (b) changing the operational mode of the computer system (Fig 3 is showing the two modes. Fig 4 is showing the part where system is switched from second mode to first mode), if the power supply supplies power in the particular state for less than a predetermined period (130 is checking if timer is less than a predetermined time period. Since, timer represents the time of power supply supplying power in searching preamble in second mode as discussed above, the timer checks if the period of power supplied in that particular state is less than a predetermined period. In addition, lines 21-24 of column 5 mention that battery returns to first mode if less than predetermined time

Page 5

Art Unit: 2116

period. Thus, mode is changed when power supply in preamble searching state

supplies power for less than a predetermined time), otherwise remaining in the

current operational mode of the computer system (if 130 is affirmative, operating

mode stays in second mode).

Yoshizawa et al do not teach the two power supplies, the changing from first supply to

second supply and detecting how long the system is in second power supply. The

system of Yoshizawa et al does not use second power supply for preamble searching

state of 128.

The system of Yoshioka et al teaches a second power supply or auxiliary supply that

replaces first supply or main supply ([0099] of page 8 mentions that 105 may be

replaced with 118) and the period of supply for second power supply (lines 12-14 of

[0024] of page 2 mention that the length of time during which the auxiliary battery is

used as a power supply is measured).

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to combine the teachings of Yoshioka et al and Yoshizawa et al. The use of

second auxiliary power supply, as taught in Yoshioka et al, for step 128 of Yoshizawa et

al, renders the whole invention of the pending claim obvious to an ordinary skill in the

art. One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use a second power

supply in preamble searching step 128 in Yoshizawa et al, since that would help saving

the power of the system. The preamble searching time 130 is not consuming much power. Thus, the main power supply can be turned off and an auxiliary unit can be turned on to provide power for state 128. That way, 130 would be measuring the period of auxiliary power supply supplying power and changing mode of the system depending on that period.

For claim 3, the auxiliary unit of Yoshioka et al is a battery.

For claim 5, Yoshioka et al and Yoshizawa et al. do not mention that the computer is a note book computer.

Examiner takes an official notice that the use of notebook computer is well known in the art.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate the teachings of Yoshioka et al, as modified by Yoshizawa et al. in a notebook computer, since that would reduce the power management of the notebook computer.

For claim 6, the system of Yoshizawa et al is a computer system, since 42 is a CPU.

5. Claims 2, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshizawa et al (US Patent 5566081), in view of Yoshioka et al (US Patent

Application Publication 2004/0075345), further in view of applicant's admission of prior

art.

For claim 2, combination of Yoshizawa et al and Yoshioka et al teaches all of the

limitations of claim 1. However, neither Yoshizawa et al nor Yoshioka et al teaches the

AC/DC adapter.

Applicant mentions in lines 1-3 of [0004] that a computer system generally comprise an

AC/DC adapter.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to combine the teachings of Yoshioka et al. Yoshizawa et al. and the applicant's

admission of prior art. One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have

the AC/DC adapter for proving power to the component, since this is widely used and

convenient to use.

For claim 7, combination of Yoshizawa et al and Yoshioka et al teaches all of the

limitations as stated in claim 1 but the first supply is an AC/DC adapter connected to

alternating current source.

Applicant mentions in lines 1-3 of [0004] that a computer system generally comprise an

AC/DC adapter.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Yoshioka et al, Yoshizawa et al. and the applicant's admission of prior art. One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have the AC/DC adapter for proving power to the component, since this is widely used and convenient to use, especially in computer system.

For claim 9, Yoshioka et al and Yoshizawa et al. do not mention that the computer is a notebook computer.

Examiner takes an official notice that the use of notebook computer is well known in the art.

One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate the teachings of Yoshioka et al, as modified by Yoshizawa et al. in a notebook computer, since that would help the power management of the notebook computer.

For claim 10, the system of Yoshizawa et al is a computer system, since 42 is a CPU.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshizawa et al (US Patent 5566081), in view of Yoshioka et al (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0075345), further in view of Kling et al (US patent 6367023).

For claim 8, the combination of Yoshizawa et al, Yoshioka et al does not explicitly

Page 9

mention reducing frequency to save power.

Kling et al teaches a system where frequency is reduced to save power (410 and 510)

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to combine the teachings of Yoshizawa et al, Yoshioka et al, and Kling et al. One

ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to reduce the frequency, since

reducing frequency reduces the power consumption.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Yoshizawa et al (US Patent 5566081), in view of Yoshioka et al (US Patent Application

Publication 2004/0075345), further in view of applicant's admission of prior art, further in

view of Kling et al (US patent 6367023).

For claim 8, the combination of Yoshizawa et al, Yoshioka et al, applicant's admission of

prior art does not explicitly mention reducing frequency to save power.

Kling et al teaches a system where frequency is reduced to save power (410 and 510)

It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Yoshizawa et al, Yoshioka et al, applicant's admission of prior art and Kling et al. One ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to reduce the frequency, since reducing frequency reduces the power consumption.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fahmida Rahman whose telephone number is 571-272-8159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 571-272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2116

Fahmida Rahman Examiner Art Unit 2116

> LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 11